G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907/08.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 190

Serial Nos. 1-10

Papers Filed.

Month August 1910

SUBJECT.

Recovery of certain amounts due to Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at Kannagatta da Grama, Tiptur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

M. 7. 655—10

11] 190 7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Judicial)

NOTES.

File No. 190-07

FILE HEADING.

Certain amounts due to Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Kanmegatta Grama in Tl Jaffna Taluk

From

Mundo' Gowda of Kanmegatta

Petn No. - Dated 29-10-1907 Received 11-1907

Diary No. 1873 | Enclos. - | Spare Copies - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that he should not be held responsible for the amount due to the temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1:2	To D. C. Jaffna No 9479 Dt 23rd November 07. Forwards Serial No 1 for report.
	To Mundo Gowda. Endt No 9479 Dt 23-11-1907
1-3.	From Mundo Gowda. Telegram Dt 23 October 1907 Brings to notice that the Dy Comm

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000 —3-4-07

Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 190 of 1907

Serial No.	
	has finally decided to recover Rs. 433/-; by injunction of his proceedings and prays that the Decree may be kept in abeyance till his appeal is decided.
	Submitted with a draft forwarding t'pram D.R. in cont. & requesting early report.
	Sd/- 28/10/09 Asst. Sd/- G. W.
4	To D C Tumkur No. 1163 D. 1st November 1909 Is invited Serial No 3 in Cont. of Sl. No 2 and requests that the report called for may be submitted early.
	From Munisubbe Gowda. Petition Dt 14-11-09
	makes further representations on the subject
	The Petr states that during his management of the temple from 1889 To 1902, the total receipts were Rs 396/ and odd and the total expenditure was Rs 956/ and odd, according to his accounts which he says, are supported by Vouchers; & that instead of paying up his dues, the Local authorities having probably omitted certain items of expenditure, are pressing upon him for payt of Rs 433/ being the realizations of the ~~temple~~ temple lands

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

and threaten him with attachment of his immovable properties. He prays that pending disposal of his Appeal by Govt the Dy. Commr may be directed not to take coercive steps in the matter.

*Hagg

The Sub Divl officer's order (an authenticated copy of which is also produced) says that steps should be taken under Sec. 193 of the L. R. C. for Rs 433/ due from Muruda Gowda.

Submitted for orders

AC 23-11-09

Under-Secretary's note

The petitioner prays for the issue of an ad interim order staying the issue of coercive process.

He has presented on the 17th inst which I have already admitted his appeal against the order of the Sub Divisional officer. The petitioner has also produced an authenticated copy of the order against which he appeals.

It is not clear whether this case should be disposed of by the Sub Divisional officer or the Dy Commr according to the orders of the Dy Commr.

Petitioner states that he has not obtained the certificate of sale and that he is making necessary arrangements for paying the amount. Some years afterwards his property was sold etc.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

venue at.

File No. 190 of 1907

Serial No.

2238

like, that the interim order of the 8th and that the injunction for two years be in possession at the higher rate offered by his enemies and that further recovery of the portion for which decrees are being taken

The only point decided by the additional which put the Crown to the trouble of bringing the matter before the Court was whether the injunction as to the recovery of the arrears of Land Revenue.

Section 19 of the Land Revenue Code as amended by Act VII of ~~1901~~ 1905 (21st March) seems to me to bring the recovery by summary process of all arrears of the kind which means to his contract be recoverable as arrears of land revenue

And it appears that already been called for as stated above the party could an ad-interim order

[illegible]

29/11/1907

An ad. interim order of stay of further process may be issued. It must have been made clear before ~~the~~ admitting the original petn of appeal, that the order was that of the D.C. (& not subordinate officer only)

29/11/07

AV/

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

at | File No. 190 of 1907

Serial No.

Subs

DFG. Secunts Idare
AV 9-
4-12-09 — 4/12/09
GL

To, The Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist

Docket no 1430 Dy 8 12 09

Forwards in contn petition of Muvunde Gowda and directs that pending disposal of the Appeal, the Local authorities may be asked to stay further proceedings in the matter of the recovery of the money.

To, Muvunde Gowda,

Endt no 1431 Dy 8.12.09.

Communicates the substance of the above order.

From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist

No 568 Dy 23.3.10.

Submits report with records

Submitted for orders

AV 16/4/10 This is practically an application for the review of Govt order No-S 1408 Dy 4.5.1907 (in file No. 108 of 1907) for which there is no provision.

Govt order seems to be further than the DC Courts report in that case. The DC Court rejected that petr had to account for Rs. 678 but accounted for Rs. 245/- only and that added that as petr admitted the receipt of Rs. 331/- only how he (the DC) had passed stated orders for the recovery of the balance viz, Rs 90-

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

The Govt order says that the whole amount due from Mundé fowda should be recovered at once and has been interpreted as meaning that Rs. 678- Rs. ~~345~~ 245 or Rs. 433 should be recovered.

It seems to me that there are not good grounds to call upon pet= to pay Rs. 433.

The sum of Rs. 678 for which he is held responsible is made up thus: —

Rs 300 income from the temple lands for 15 years

Rs. 224-8 - Sale proceeds of temple bells and archak's house

Rs. 154 - offerings made by devotees when the idol was taken in procession to their villages.

Mundé fowda admits the second item and says that only Rs. 30 were received as offerings and not Rs 154.

As regards the first item there is no evidence that Rs. 300 was realised from the lands. The Amildar in his report No 723 of 15.6.1904 says that the lands may be taken to have yielded crops say once in three years or four occasions during the 15 years the pet= has been in the possession and that at the rate of Rs. 60 the total income may be taken to be Rs 5 x 60 or Rs 300

Pet= contention is that he has not been in possession for 15 years though he assumed the management in 1889. The admission gave up the lands in 1902 He could thus have been in possession for only 13 years Pet= says (Vide his deposition before me) that he obtained possession of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

lands in 1899 when he executed a muchalika before the Shekdar and not in 1889 when he assumed the management of the temple. Thus Petr was only in possession for three years.

His further contention is that he is not bound to spend the entire income from the lands on the Temple. He was bound in possession of the lands raising what crops he liked and arrange for Puja in the Temple. He therefore says that the ~~Rs~~ of Rs. he should not be called upon to account for the sum of Rs. 760 which he derived from the Wet lands in one year. Thus ~~he~~ he is accountable for only Rs. 220 + Rs. 80 + Rs. 30 or Rs 250-0-0 out of which Rs. 245 have been accounted for

Petr has not substantiated his allegations referred to in para 2 of the note that his income was Rs. 396 while his expenditure was Rs. 956. The Inspectors said that his witnesses were not examined though he more than once applied for such examination.

Petr when first questioned by the Amildar in May 1902 denied that he had received any money. Later on in 1902 September 1902 he admitted some items and accepted for Rs. 245 adding that he had spent his balance on Utsavas &c. His petition contains some petitions and ~~favorable~~ abuses. His witnesses have not been examined

There is also the difficulty of recovering money. Sec. 193 of the Land Revenue Code does not appear to be applicable. The money is not due to Govt. and the party has not consented to the recovery thereof by coercive process. The first order

J, F, K, & M -
J: Petn. D/15.7.02 of the Villagers of Kamagiatta to Jiptur D.K.)
F: Petn D/4.11.02 of Puttabasa Dodda Nanje Gowda to Diptur D.K.)
K: Maharaja of Kamagiatta
Villagers dated 4.4.1898, authenticated copy of.)
M: Same as above D/19.10.98)
N: Same as above D/5.1.1900)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

evenue at. | 1803 File No. 190 of 1907

Serial No. 5[illegible]

3951

appears to require modification

Draft Intimation to Deputy Commissioner

Commissioner

30/7/1910

There was no proof that defaulter had received more than the sum admitted by him. The S.D. however did not make the point clear and the direction was given in general terms. More than 90 Rs cannot therefore be ~~lost~~ levied from him in any case.

Govt has no power to recover the sum by coercive process, and has to file a suit on behalf of the institution. The D.C. may be informed that the sum should be recovered from the man, and if he fails to pay steps to recover it should be taken in accordance with law i.e. by recourse to a suit.

31/5/10

I see the office draft proposes

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,0 0—27-4 0

venue
tariat. | Mus File No. 190 of 1907

Serial No.

to exonerate him altogether. The previous note says that he urged that he had spent 90 Rs on building a Basavanna Temple. Where is the explⁿ referred to in this draft?

31/5/10

Resubmitted

The DS Cons in his first report of March 1907 on which the first order is based says that pet= used some money for the Basaveswara Temple. This is based upon a petition presented by pet= to the Collector on 7/1902 in which it is said that the sum realized by the sale of a Banni has been with the permission of the Amildar, used for the Basaveswara Temple.

The plea that I refer to in the draft was urged in Sept= 1902 in which pet= admitted the receipt of some money. He has then said that the records relating to the Basaveswara Temple and to the Temple Professorship of the Ranganathi Temple should be looked into. These records have not been submitted.

If I may venture to offer an opinion the case for the Temple does not appear to be strong. As stated in the draft that plea may be accepted.

Resubmitted to Secretary to Govt

Abstract in margin

8/1/1910

Ship O. Pat= marked in red ink

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

1803

Revenue Secretariat. | Mus. File No. 170 of 1907

Serial No.

I have revised the order. It is doubtful whether a suit can succeed. However it is as an easy climbing down that I have proposed this (draft) order.

4/6/10

Submitted to Dewan
Ambthan
7/6/10

6520
8-6-10

Draft passed
12/8/10

Submitted with a draft Endt. - Issue the submitted Sd
Coimbr. Ambthan
17/8/10

Gave order No 574/M F 190-07 D/19 8 10 directing that (illegible) steps should not be taken to recover the amount as arrears of Land Revenue - etc

Patel Murda Gonda of Kanur (later) in the Tiptur Taluq

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

evenue
cretariat.

File No. 190 of 19 6 7

11

Serial No.

Endorsement No 384 Dt 23 8 10
Informs him accordingly

Sd/-

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000— 12 8 10

73 Rupees put up before court (illegible).

57

Muz. 1]

190

20/11

From

To

The Dy Commr

Tumkur Dist

Dated

Despatched 3-11-07

Received

General No. 2417

Mun No. 170 07-08

Enclosure No. 1 2c

Has the honor To forward herewith for favor of report petition registered no 1843 dated 29th October 1904 from Muneda Gowda of Kanungatanda Grama in the Sirsi Taluk praying that he should not be held liable for the payment of certain amounts to the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at the place

By order,

DC 21/11/07

GPL—3,000- 19-9-07

for [illegible] the petr. [illegible] Secretary

C 27

C

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

3030 Rev.

Handed in at	Office of Origin.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.
	Tiptur 23	16	30	72

TO Reply Paid Re one The Dewan Mysore

D 834

Ftwr F 190-07-3

I murudegowda of nonvintiere submit that I filed appeal in your honors court to cancel the recovery of rs 433 due rangaswami and received endorsement 2480 dated 23/11/07 stating it was forwarded to dy commissioner for report but dy commissioner has finally

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE. This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.	Charges to pay. Rs.	As.	*Office Stamp.*

Office of Origin.	*Hour.*	*Minute.*	*Service Instructions.*
Handed in at			

TO

decided unfavourably to recover 433/
by injunction of my properties so
pray stop injunction till my appeal decided
by your hontour

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Urgent. 21 24

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Deputy Comr.
Trincomalee Division.

Dated

Despatched 1 21st November 1907

Received

General No. 1163

No. M 7 1907 4

Enclosure No. Same

In continuation of this
Office No. 2479/Misc 190-07-2
D 23rd November 1907 forwarding
for report Petn No. 1873 D 29
October 1907 from Muruda
Lowda of Kannuggattada Grama
in the Ichtan Talek re contain
amount due to Sri Ranga-
natha Swamii temple at the
place, has the honor to
forward herewith Telegram
No D 8354 D 23rd October 1907

GPL—3,000- 19-9-07

W H Wilson

from Imunde

Same Subject and to request

that the report called for May

~~should~~ be submitted ~~to~~

~~this office~~ without ~~court~~ delay.

B O

By

29/W Vans

for Secretary.

My 28/08 09

No. 1164 dated the 1-11-[illegible]

M-7.190-67-4

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

D 969

17.11.09

396—8

శిక్షలూ లు రాళ్ళ లో ద్వారకోళ్ళ సీంచుకురచ్చ సాధ్యం

రంగాధ్వదేహాలు కాం చవిడ నుసళ్ళశాఖారదళ్ళా సాగ్ళా శి

భికివి చుళ్ళ ఫ్రీకపరవరు శిక్షలూ లు రా లళ్ళా లం ఉపాలాబ్రిసే

లోంళ్ళ 11.6.09నే మర్యం లో సా. 1095నే నంగా ర్లు ర్యం లా ని.

× × × × × ×

మురుడిసారనిందవ సీలూ లాంగభ్ర్ణాంద వలూ చులగు (4 3 6) రా

శాం లు గళ్ళు వసీలూ లాలు స్థ్ యుంవ్రళ్ళ లో సాంళ్ళ లో నీ భాం శి లూ

శి లాళ్ళం లో రళ్ళ శిళ్ళ రాంళ్ళ లో 193నే సెప్టెంబ్రర్ రాం శ లు వనచిశ్రచిం

దిగ చిళ్ళి లు శం దు వలూ చులగు రు రాం భు వలూ లం లు చిర వలూ

శి రాలాళ్ళా. చిశ్రీ రభిందగాలుందర్ధు లవే లు శ్రీ రళ్ళ వలూ చులగళ్ళ స్థ్ వి

రభిందగాయ రు ర్ధ్ బూ ధ్ళ శిళ్ళు రు రాం భు నస్లోళ్ళ లో వి లో సూం లి శ్రీ ళ్ళ.

× × × × ×

Compared

Signed/ K. Sreenivasa Rao

నవ శిశిళ్ళ లు స్థ్ ళ చార్

Ex brief

"By Order"

B Mallikarjunaiah.

District Shirastedar

Tumkur Dist.

Copy applied by munde sowdru on 18-11-09

Do ready on 20-11-09

Do delivered on 20-11-09

No of words 90

Copying fees 0-1-6

Comps. fees 0-0-2

0-1-8.

Dft as per orders

G.R.S. 29A]

816

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness.
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy. Commr

Dated Tumkur Dist

Despatched 8-12-1909

Received

General No. 1430

No. [illegible]

Enclosure No.

In continuation of the marginally-noted communications from this office calling for a report on the petition of Munde Gowda of Kammaghatta Agrahara in the Tiptur Taluk praying that he should not be held liable for certain amounts due to the Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple at the place

× No 2479 dt 23-11-09
" 1163 dt 1-11-09

has the honor to forward
herewith another petition regd.
no D. 969 dt 14th Nov 1909
on the subject and
from the said individual
to request that the subn
of the report may kindly
be expedited without further
delay.

2) Pending disposal of
the appeal by Govt,
the Dy. Commr is requested
to issue early orders to
the local authorities
to stay further proceedings
in the matter of his removal.

By order,
etc
[illegible] 4/12/1909
for Secretary
to G. I. M. P.
Supdt to the Govt

urgent

No. 1431 dt 8.12.09

To

The Superintendent of Police

Sir,

I am to inform you that

Yours faithfully,

By order,

Asst. Superintendent of Police

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
D. 1803

To The Secretary to the
Government of
Revenue Department (Mungrai)
Bangalore.

Dated 26th March 1910

Despatched 26.3

Received

General No. 78
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reporting on the petition of Murunde Gowda of Kamanghatta, Tiptur Taluk, in the matter of his liability to pay a certain amount to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple of the place.

Refer
AY
28/3/10

VRI
V.I. 10
ref. 5.4.10

GPB—70 reams—27 12 09

Office of th. D

Tumkur District

Dated 22nd March 1910

16.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To

C.S. Srinivasa Iyer Esq B.A.

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Department

(Secret)

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your secret No. 1178 dated 23rd November 1909 [illegible] report & proceedings received from the Board of Examiners, Kapurthala proposing that the Mela should be held -- hereafter for the amusement of the people and to the Criminal Statistics to be compiled in this office, I have the honour to invite reference to this office letter of even date 30th March 1907 wherein the probable [illegible], after deducting the expenditure of Rs. -- 45- -0 given by them, was fixed at Rs. 30. Accurate information [illegible] to be collected as to the actual receipts from all sources to [illegible] will be about the expenditure and estimated the [illegible] expenditure to be 678-3-0 while as limited by the --- [illegible] Rs. 600/-. Government in para 2 of their orders on this [illegible] suggested directed that the whole amount [illegible] recovered [illegible] credited to the funds of the [illegible] arrangements for the Mela and that [illegible] Subba Sub Divisional Officer be given [illegible] Calculated ~~Collector~~ with reference to the receipts as estimated by this office in my letter above quoted to recover Rs. 600/- (Rs. 678-3-0 Amildar's figure minus Rs. 78-8-0 expenditure --- Rs. 30-0-0)

With [illegible] No. 1430 / C.T. 190-07-4 dated 8th December

1909

909 who then received a petition from the Gowlis interpreting the Government order as declaring that a sum of Rs 30 only was recoverable, and protesting against the recovery of even that amount in view of the large sums spent by them on Basavanna-Devaru temple etc. I beg to state that the expenditure on this Basavanna temple out of the receipts of the Somanathesvara temple was unauthorised in as much as it(the Basavanna temple) is not a Siva institution. On going through the whole record carefully in the light of the list of receipts and expenditure subsequently submitted to Government by the Gowlis with the above petition, I cannot help coming to the conclusion that the affairs of the temple when it was under him were not well managed. A sum of Rs 30 would have to be recovered from the Gowlis now.

The file of vernacular records along with the Gubbi Sub Divisional Officer's No 413 dated 8th November 1909 together with the petitions received with the documents or reply are herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

For Deputy Commissioner.

1883 ఆగస్టు 10

ఇ శ్రీ మహారాజశ్రీ ఆదవంశీక్ష్మ తిరుమల

నాదరాయలవారి ఆజ్ఞ ప్రకారము ఆయన దివాన్ వెంకటరామయ్యగారు

ఆయన కుమారులకు వ్రాసినది. మీరు ఇక్కడ నుండి బయలుదేరి

రేపు ఆదివారము ఉదయము 10 గంటలకు మీ అల్లుళ్ళు అల్లుడి

రేపు ఆదివారము ఉదయము 10 గంటలకు ఆయనగారి

నకు 1886 వ సంవత్సరము ఆగస్టు నెల 10 వ తారీకు ఇక్కడికి

వచ్చి చేరవలసినదిగా వ్రాసిన ఉత్తరము మీకు అందినది

కదా. ఆ ప్రకారము మీరు ఇక్కడికి రాకపోవుట చాలా ఆశ్చర్యముగా

ఉన్నది. ఇంతకు ముందు మీరు ఇక్కడికి వచ్చినప్పుడు

మీ అమ్మగారు మీ అత్తగారు మీ మేనత్తగారు మీ

అక్కగారు మీ చెల్లెలు మీ తమ్ముడు మీ మేనల్లుడు

మీ మేనకోడలు మీ బావమరిది మీ వదినగారు

మీ అన్నగార

28.]

File No. 190
Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 1803

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Inwards)

, the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—

Govt. Po. No. 5148 dated 4th May 1907, directing that the whole amount due from Murude Gowda to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Kamaghatta in the Tiptur T

ORDER THEREON.—No:

Should be recovered.

Petn Regd. No 1873 dated 29th October 1907 from Murude Gowda praying for a re-consideration of his order

Correspondence ending with Letter No 568 dated 23rd

over

SUBJECT.

Re the liability of one Murude Gowda to pay a certain amount to the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Kamaghatta, Tiptur Taluk.

GPB—5,000—7-5 09

1/1/08

23rd March 1910 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kurnool District.

Order thereon No. 574 Exempted 19-8-10.

Inam 190-07

Upon a further consideration of the matter Govt. are of opinion that the first order requires to be modified. It has not been satisfactorily proved that Murundi Ganda during his management of the Temple was accountable for Rs 678/-. In the amount of Rs 335/- the receipt of which he admits, he appears to have satisfactorily accounted. He has paid Rs 84/- to the Archak for seven years and spent Rs 161/- on the purchase of Vahanas, Clothes and other articles. His plea that he spent the balance on Vahanas may be accepted. Govt are therefore pleased to cancel paragraph of the order read as directed

Murundi Ganda admitted receipt of some money on behalf of the temple, but he gave accounts to show that he spent more than what he had received. There is no independent evidence on the matter and it is difficult to hold that he has actually misappropriated any money.

The order of Govt dated 4.5.07 did not actually decide the exact amount due. It cannot be more than 90 Rs. If this sum is paid by Murundi Ganda, it may be recovered & credited as directed in the

27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

the re covery of any money from Mwinde'gaw d a.

Dft) 8/5/1910
for Asstg. Secretary

Said order. Otherwise, as ~~to~~ the amount is due to a temple, Coercive steps cannot be taken to recover it as arrears of land Revenue. A further report may be submitted if it is considered necessary ~~found necessary~~ to file a suit in a Civil Court.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Sinu Kun Dt, returning Revenue enclosed Papers

Encls. to Petns.

MGCPB—30,000—5-1-05

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Govt.Progs No.5148 dated 4th May 1907, directing that the whole amount due from Murude Gowda to the Srê Ranganatha Swami Temple at Kannaghatta in the Tiptur Taluk should be recovered.

2. Petition Registered No.1873 dated 29th October 1907, from --- Murude Gowda, praying for a reconsideration of this order.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.568 dated the 23rd March 1910, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Order thereon No. Dated Bangalore August 1910

Upon a further consideration of the matter, Government are of opinion that the first order requires to be modified.--- Murugo Gowda admitted receipt of some money on behalf of the -- temple, but he gave accounts to shew that he spent more than ~~it~~ what he had received. There is no independent evidence on the - matter, and it is difficult to hold that he has actually misappropriated any money. ~~This~~

The order of Government dated 4th May 1907 did not -- actually decide the exact amount due. It cannot be more than -- 90/-Rs. If this sum is paid by Murugo Gowda, it may be recovered and credited, as directed in the said order. Otherwise, as the - amount is due to a temple, coercive steps cannot be taken to -- recover it as arrears of land revenue.

A further report may be submitted if it is considered necessary to file a suit in a Civil Court.

Chandrappa
BL

For Offg.Secretary to Govt.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning vernacular papers.

SRN

తేది 23.8.1910.

Gms 190-07

మన్యం జిల్లా పరిషత్ ఛైర్మన్ గారికి

విన్నపం ఏమనగా, మన్యం జిల్లా పరిషత్ పరిధిలో

ఉన్న ప్రాథమిక పాఠశాలలకు ఉపాధ్యాయులు

అవసరమగు పిల్లల సంఖ్యను నిర్ణయించి ఉత్తర్వులు

ఇవ్వవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాను.

ఇట్లు

విధేయుడు

ప్రధానోపాధ్యాయుడు

ప్రాథమిక పాఠశాల

ఆదర్శనగర్

ఈ విన్నపం మీద ఛైర్మన్ గారు ఇచ్చిన ఉత్తర్వులు

ప్రాథమిక పాఠశాల, ఆదర్శనగర్ కు ఉపాధ్యాయుల

అవసరం నిమిత్తం మన్యం జిల్లా పరిషత్ కార్యాలయం

ఉత్తర్వులు $\frac{5148}{4.5.07}$ ప్రకారం ఒక ఉపాధ్యాయుడు

ఉత్తరువులకై రాష్ట్ర మన్యం జిల్లా పరిషత్ కార్యాలయం

కార్యదర్శికి $\frac{1873}{18.10.07}$ ప్రకారం ఉత్తరువులు

ఇవ్వబడినవి. ఈ ఉత్తరువులు ఇచ్చిన

కార్యదర్శి గారు మన్యం జిల్లా పరిషత్ ఛైర్మన్

గారికి ఈ విధంగా నివేదించారు.

ప్రాథమిక పాఠశాల ఆదర్శనగర్

ತಿಳಿದ್ದಾ ಸೇವೆ ಪ್ರೋಟದ ಬಾಂಬ್ಲು ಸೇಲಸ್ಸು ಸೆಕ್ಕಾರಲಿಸ
ಗಳ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರೀಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂದಿಸುವದಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿಸ
ಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸೇಲಸ್ಸುಗಳಿಂದ ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲ್ ಸೇಲಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ
ಕೊಡುವದಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಮಾಡಿ
ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರೋಟದ ಬಾಂಬ್ಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಪ್ರೋಟದ ಬಾಂಬ್ಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹಾಗೂ ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲ್
ಸೇಲಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ
ಯೆಂದು ಹಾಗೂ ಪೆಟ್ರೋಲ್ ಸೇಲಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

190-0

559



Copy sent by Post 20/1/12